

Көліктік логистика және авиациялық қауіпсіздік
Транспортная логистика и авиационная безопасность
Transport logistics and aviation safety

DOI 10.53364/24138614_2022_25_2_49

UDC 334.7

¹Elman Najafov, ²Orkhan Hasanli

^{1,2}National Aviation Academy, Baku, Azerbaijan

THE METHOD OF VALUING OF LOGISTIC OUTSOURCING SERVICES

МЕТОД ОЦЕНКИ СТОИМОСТИ УСЛУГ ЛОГИСТИЧЕСКОГО АУТСОРСИНГА

ЛОГИСТИКАЛЫҚ АУТСОРСИНГ ҚЫЗМЕТТЕРІНІҢ ҚҰНЫН БАҒАЛАУ ӘДІСІ

¹E-mail: elmanmehdi@yandex.com

²E-mail: o.hasanli.n@gmail.com

Abstract. Outsourcing services widespread in developed countries, have been used in the economic market of the Republic of Azerbaijan in recent years, mainly in the fields of accounting, human resources, marketing, information technology and logistics. Our previous study on "Logistics in the transport complex of the Republic of Azerbaijan", based on 11 criteria for the development of logistics outsourcing, concluded that the lack of trust between companies and their desire to maintain control in most areas is one of the reasons for poor development of logistics outsourcing. The new article discusses the new criteria developed by us in addition to the existing criteria in the literature to assess the existing concerns of companies before concluding a mutual agreement in the field of outsourcing and to conduct internal and external evaluations of logistics outsourcing services. Considering that the criteria given in the literature do not fully cover the internal evaluation of logistics outsourcing services, an additional risk assessment criterion was proposed by us. In addition to the criteria given in the literature, two other criteria was developed by us in the external evaluation of logistics outsourcing services, which reflect the capacity of logistics providers and tracking of vehicles in use. The problem of unreliability and mistrust between companies and enterprises will be solved to some extent by making the optimal decisions through the new method discussed in this article.

Key words: logistics, outsourcing, economics, transport logistics, 3PL, 4PL, evaluation method, logistics management.

Аннотация. Услуги аутсорсинга, широко распространенные в развитых странах, в последние годы используются на экономическом рынке Азербайджанской Республики, в основном в области бухгалтерского учета, управления персоналом, маркетинга, информационных технологий и логистики. Наше предыдущее исследование на тему "Логистика в транспортном комплексе Азербайджанской Республики", основанное на 11 критериях развития логистического аутсорсинга, показало, что отсутствие доверия между компаниями и их желание сохранить контроль в большинстве областей является одной из причин слабого развития логистического аутсорсинга. В новой статье обсуждаются новые критерии, разработанные нами в дополнение к существующим критериям в литературе для оценки существующих проблем компаний перед заключением взаимного соглашения в области аутсорсинга и для проведения внутренних и внешних оценок услуг логистического аутсорсинга. Учитывая, что критерии, приведенные в литературе, не в полной мере

охватывают внутреннюю оценку услуг логистического аутсорсинга, нами был предложен дополнительный критерий оценки рисков. В дополнение к критериям, приведенным в литературе, два других критерия были разработаны нами при внешней оценке услуг логистического аутсорсинга, которые отражают возможности поставщиков логистических услуг и отслеживание используемых транспортных средств. Проблема ненадежности и недоверия между компаниями и предприятиями будет в некоторой степени решена путем принятия оптимальных решений с помощью нового метода, обсуждаемого в этой статье.

Ключевые слова: логистика, аутсорсинг, экономика, транспортная логистика, 3PL, 4PL, метод оценки, управление логистикой.

Аңдатпа. Дамыған елдерде кеңінен таралған аутсорсинг қызметтері соңғы жылдары Әзірбайжан Республикасының Экономикалық нарығында, негізінен бухгалтерлік есеп, персоналды басқару, маркетинг, Ақпараттық технологиялар және логистика саласында қолданылады. Логистикалық аутсорсингті дамытудың 11 критерийіне негізделген "Әзербайжан Республикасының көлік кешеніндегі Логистика" тақырыбындағы біздің алдыңғы зерттеуіміз компаниялар арасындағы сенімнің болмауы және олардың көптеген салаларда бақылауды сақтап қалуға деген ұмтылысы логистикалық аутсорсингтің әлсіз даму себептерінің бірі болып табылатынын көрсетті. Жаңа мақалада аутсорсинг бойынша өзара келісім жасамас бұрын және логистикалық аутсорсинг қызметтеріне ішкі және сыртқы бағалау жүргізу үшін әдебиеттердегі қолданыстағы критерийлерге қосымша біз жасаған жаңа критерийлер талқыланады. Әдебиеттерде келтірілген критерийлер логистикалық аутсорсинг қызметтерін ішкі бағалауды толық қамтымайтынын ескере отырып, біз тәуекелдерді бағалаудың қосымша критерийін ұсындық. Әдебиеттерде келтірілген критерийлерден басқа, біз логистикалық аутсорсинг қызметтерін сыртқы бағалау кезінде логистикалық қызмет көрсетушілердің мүмкіндіктерін және пайдаланылған көлік құралдарын бақылауды көрсететін басқа екі критерийді жасадық. Компаниялар мен кәсіпорындар арасындағы сенімсіздік пен сенімсіздік мәселесі осы мақалада талқыланған жаңа әдіс арқылы оңтайлы шешім қабылдау арқылы белгілі бір дәрежеде шешіледі.

Түйін сөздер: логистика, аутсорсинг, экономика, көлік логистикасы, 3PL, 4PL, бағалау әдісі, логистиканы басқару.

Outsourcing is an area of focus for many researchers around the world. They have studied the effectiveness of outsourcing, application methods and other aspects of implementation of outsourcing in different fields. An example of this is the research conducted by the Irish expert D.Terna on the application of outsourcing in financial matters. The author interviewed twenty experts and, based on their opinions, assessed the attitude of entrepreneurs to outsourcing and the prevalence of this service [1]. Another European expert, S. Luttringhaus, a professor at Darmstadt Technical University, analyzed the technical and financial problems of this field by applying the outsourcing service to one enterprise [2]. Researchers from the University of Alcantara in Spain, R. Gonzales and J. Gasco, analyzed the application of outsourcing to information technology in their research [3]. A number of studies have also been conducted about outsourcing by us in Azerbaijan. Our research using the expert method revealed that logistics outsourcing services are relatively poorly developed in the transport complex of the Republic of Azerbaijan [4]. According to experts, many local companies have their own warehousing network, transport, and "natural" logistics facilities, they don't have intention of leaving them although they have the ability to reduce direct production or sales costs. Therefore, they don't rely on transferring the majority of logistics to the foreign logistics intermediaries in addition, experts who point out that the market of logistics intermediaries providing high level services at a reasonable price is relatively limited was highlighting the great development of logistics in the Republic of Azerbaijan, according to the decree of December 6, 2016 "Strategic Roadmap for Logistics and Trade Development" [5]. What are the reasons for the low prevalence of outsourcing in the Azerbaijani economic market? If we

summarize the opinions of experts, we can conclude that companies do not trust logistics providers enough in terms of providing logistics services.

Objective. To overcome this "unreliability", even if they trust each other, companies need to study and evaluate the service potential and quality of service of logistics providers who want to contract with them to provide outsourcing services. At the same time, companies need to identify and evaluate their areas of activity and make optimal decisions by comparing the proposals of logistics providers. Based on this assessment, companies will be able to identify their weaknesses, as well as providers that offer professional services in this area. As the famous saying goes, "Everyone must do what he knows best." One of the biggest mistakes made by many companies is that they focus on secondary activities rather than on core activities that prevent them from achieving high quality and low costs [6].

Of course, all of this requires a valuation method that companies will use. Below Turkish researcher Ö. Yılmaz's research on "Outsourcing in businesses and effects on business performance" outlined the criteria for internal and external evaluations (in terms of the company's key areas, costs, risks) [7]. However, we think that these criteria are not enough for companies to make an optimal decision on cooperation in the field of logistics outsourcing. To this end, we have developed a new method by adding two more criteria that concern companies - "freight tracking" and "providers' carrying capacity".

Methodology. As mentioned above, companies must evaluate internal and external assessments before deciding to use outsourcing services. Internal assessment consists of the following steps:

1. Assessment of key skills and experience.

The basic skills of the enterprise are the most important area of the company. But can companies do enough? Do they have enough experienced and qualified staff to do this? For the evaluation of experience, the management of the enterprise should prepare a list of experienced staff with consideration of the qualifications, work experience, professional diplomas and certificates of the employees. The practice criterion of the company is then determined by the following statement:

$$\frac{N_e}{N_w} = P_{com}$$

Here, N_e denotes the number of experienced workers, N_w is the total number of workers, and P_{com} is the criterion for practice of company.

The practice criterion is rated from $0 < 1$. When the result is 1, the number of experienced employees is maximum and 0 is the minimum. A score of more than 0.5 is considered effective [7].

2. Expense estimation.

At this stage it is necessary to identify areas that are more labor intensive and costly than the core and supporting activities of the enterprise. Then, by determining the monthly total expenditure and expenditure on that area, the expense criteria are determined based on the following statement:

$$\frac{E_a}{E_t} = E_{com}$$

Here, E_a is the expense of the being evaluated area, E_t is the total expense, and E_{com} is the expense criterion of company.

The expense criterion ranges from $0 < 1$. The desired result for enterprises is close to 0 [7].

3. Quality assessment.

At this stage, to determine the level of service provided by the enterprise, the total number of work done during a given period, as well as complaints (negative feedback, troubled relationships) should be determined. The quality criterion is then determined based on the following statement:

$$\frac{N_c}{N_s} = Q_{com}$$

Here, N_c denotes the number of complaints, N_s is the total number of services provided (in numbers), and Q_{com} is the quality criterion of company.

The quality criterion ranges from $0 < 1$. The result is close to 1, which is a very negative indicator for the enterprise.

Additionally we also propose risk assessments, assuming that the three criteria mentioned in the literature above do not fully cover the internal evaluation of logistics outsourcing services. Of course, safety is one of the most important factors when it comes to transportation. For this reason, it is important to assess safety and risks in transportation.

4. Risk Assessment.

At this stage, the number of accidents and errors made during a certain period of operation of the enterprise is determined. The risk criterion is then calculated based on the following statement:

$$\frac{N_a}{N_s} = R_{com}$$

Here, N_a denotes the number of accidents, errors, N_s represents the total number of logistics services rendered, and R_{com} represents the risk criteria of company.

The risk criterion is rated from $0 < 1$. The result is close to 1 is considered too dangerous for the enterprise.

Based on the four-step evaluation in accordance with the above four criteria, enterprises can determine the use of outsourcing in which area will be useful. Of course, these are areas where they are less experienced, more prone to mistakes, more costly, and more riskful.

After these stages, the right choice of service providers, that is, external appraisal, becomes actual. Naturally, every enterprise desires a optimal, reliable, experienced cooperation. But how can you trust the service providers? What are the benefits of cooperation? In order to answer such questions, enterprises should also conduct an external evaluation. Unlike internal evaluation in the literature, a four-stage evaluation for external evaluation is offered:

1. Evaluation of service providers.

At this stage, a survey method can be used to evaluate the service provider. The factors listed below in the questionnaire are assessed in the range of 0-10 points.

- Company recognition;
- Activity duration of company;
- The number of experienced employees in the field of activity;
- Repeated and new customers of the Company (number, name, etc. of the other partner organizations);
- Financial capabilities of the Company;
- Techniques and systems that are owned;
- Open communication opportunities (intensity of responding to clients' requests, breadth of communication facilities);

After the questionnaire has been compiled, the service provider's experience criteria is determined based on the following statement:

$$\frac{\sum P_f}{n} = P_{pro}$$

Here, P_f represents the points given to the factors, n is the number of factors, and P_{pro} denotes the experience criteria of service providers [7].

High criteria of experience is a desirable result in the first stage of provider selection. However, this is not an indicator sufficient to sign an outsourcing contract. For this reason, it is essential that the service providers are financially viable.

2. Expense estimation.

At this stage, the expense criterion is determined by the ratio of service provider's services cost to the total costs of the company:

$$\frac{E_{ap}}{E_{tp}} = E_{pro}$$

Here, E_{ap} is the price for service, E_{tp} is the total expenses, and E_{pro} is the expense criterion of providers.

The expense criterion ranges from $0 < 1$, and enterprises are trying to get the result closer to 0. But, in assessing costs it is necessary to take into account not only the cost of the service, but also the quantity and quality of the proposed works in return for the funds. For this reason, sometimes companies are interested in partnering with providers even if it is $E_{ap} > E_{tp}$ [7].

3. Assessment of service quality.

At this stage, to determine the level of service provided by the service provider, it is necessary to determine the total amount of work done during a given period, as well as the number of complaints (negative feedback, troublesome relationships). The quality criterion is then determined based on the following statement:

$$\frac{N_{cp}}{N_{sp}} = Q_{pro}$$

Here, N_{cp} refers to the number of complaints, N_{sp} - the total number of services provided (in numbers), and Q_{pro} - the quality criteria of providers.

The quality criterion ranges from $0 < 1$. The result is close to 0, is what enterprises expect from their service providers. As noted in the internal assessment, the risk factor in transport is also important for external evaluation [7].

4. Risk Assessment.

At this stage, the number of crashes and errors that have occurred during a certain period of service provider's activity is determined and the risk criterion is determined based on the following statement:

$$\frac{N_{ap}}{N_{sp}} = R_{pro}$$

Here, N_{ap} refers to the number of accidents, errors, N_{sp} - the total number of services provided, and R_{pro} - the risk criterion of providers. The risk criterion is rated in the range of $0 < 1$.

Of course, companies require guaranteed service from a service provider in terms of reliability. That's why risk criterion is so important.

It is important to note that, in addition to the above-mentioned criteria, companies want to ensure that the shipments are delivered accurately and on time, and that they are always aware of the goods. For this reason, in addition to the external evaluation criteria shown in the literature, we offer two more criteria:

- a) Criteria for calculating carrying capacity;
- b) Criteria for tracing loads.

Findings

5. Calculation of carrying capacity.

The following expression was prepared by us to describe the carrying capacity.

$$\frac{\sum_1^n L_v}{n} = C$$

Here, L_v represents the load factor of a vehicle of logistics provider (t/km), n represents the number of flights operated by the vehicle (in numbers), and C represents the average utilization coefficient of carrying capacity.

C criterion is an indicator of carrying capacity of the company to offer logistical outsourcing services. It will have some sort of answer to the question of whether opposite side can meet demand

for transportation. C is rated in the range of $0 < 0,5 < 1$. If $Q < 0,5$ is considered effective, this result is a desirable indicator for companies. If C coefficient is rated in the range of $0,5 < 1$ logistics provider is considered to be partially overloaded and it is supposed for the logistics provider not to fully meet the needs of new costumers for transportation.

6. *The degree of tracking of freight.*

It is well known that one of the most worrying issues for freight owners is their desire to be aware of where their luggage is. That is, tracking their luggage. Of course, freight tracking is very important in terms of business planning and pre-load preparation for cargo operations. Therefore, the issue of tracking freight in modern transportation is at the forefront of the list of criteria required by logistics providers. To determine the degree of traceability of

freight we offer the following statement:

$$\frac{N_{tv}}{N_t} = T$$

Here, N_{tv} represents the number of trackable vehicles that can be used by the logistical provider, N_t the total number of vehicles used by the logistics provider, and T - the criteria for the tracking of cargo.

Tracking criterion is rated in the range of $0 < 1$. Of course, the coefficient T is close to 1, so that the number of trackable vehicles is desirable for enterprises.

The results obtained after all the evaluation stages allow you to select the right provider. However, it should be noted that before the decision to cooperate with the providers, the results of internal and external evaluations must be met. In order to simplify the process, we have compiled an indicator table in Microsoft Excel that has been developed by using a correlation method. (Figure 1). This table is designed with a simple comparison function. Thus, it logically compares the results of internal and external evaluations and shows "appropriate" or "inappropriate" signs in the indicator column.

This table consists of four parts:

- Comparable criteria;
- Internal assessment results;
- Results of external evaluation;
- Indicator of conformity.

No	Comparable factors	Internal assessment	External assessment	Indicators of conformity
1	Assessment of key skills and experience	0,50	0,70	✓
2	Cost estimation	0,25	0,30	✗
3	Quality assessment	0,35	0,23	✓
4	Risk assessment	0,27	0,11	✓
5	Calculation of carrying capacity		0,30	✓
6	Calculation of freight tracking rate		1,00	✓

Figure 1. Comparison table of results

In the first image conditional numbers have been added for the sample. If results are taken into account, we can see that the conformity indicator has made “eligible” statements in criteria 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6, and “inadequate” in criterion 2. Indicator variables vary according to internal and external evaluation of factors. Thus, it is easy to compare the results by entering the results in the table of indicators. If most of the results of this comparison are "appropriate", cooperation between

logistics outsourcing companies can be considered successful. As a result, as mentioned in the beginning of the article, the problem of mistrust and distrust between companies and enterprises, which is one of the reasons for the relatively weak development of outsourcing in the Azerbaijani economic market, will be solved by the right method by making the right decisions. With this new method, companies will be able to identify areas where they are inexperienced, require more cost and risk, and will be able to choose the optimal logistics providers.

Conclusions. The economic reforms in the country and the creation of a transparent business environment for entrepreneurs as a result of the serious fight against monopoly will affect the underdeveloped sectors of the Azerbaijani economy. Successful political and economic reforms, adopted strategic roadmap create favorable conditions for the study of world experience in logistics outsourcing, promotion of 3PL service providers and access of 4PL providers to the market. As outsourcing services model widely used in modern management, are widely used today in developed countries of the world recently also in the Azerbaijan economy we can observe the transfer of business processes management to the third party, such as a plenty of creation of the following enterprises “YOM Logistics Azerbaijan”, Baku Logistics Centre”, “Business Service Centre” [8]. The method presented by us will not only provide the necessary resources for enterprises that want to use outsourcing services, but will also contribute to the development of logistics outsourcing services in the Azerbaijani economy.

References

1. David Mc Ternan – “Outsourcing in the financial services industry”, İrlandiya, 2015.
2. Sigrun Luttringhaus – “Outsourcing des Property managements als Professional Service”, Darmstadt, 2015.
3. R. Gonzales, J. Gasco – “Information Systems Outsourcing in Spain”, Spain, 2010.
4. O. N. Hasanli – “Logistics in the transport complex of the Republic of Azerbaijan”, NAA, Master's dissertation, Baku, 2017.
5. “Strategic Roadmap for the development of logistics and trade in the Republic of Azerbaijan”- Decree of the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan dated December 6, 2016.
6. S.V. Yuryev - “Outsourcing as an element of modern economic relations”, Monograph, St. Petersburg, 2012.
7. O. Yılmaz- “Outsourcing in businesses and effects on business performance”, Balıkshehir, 2006, p 56-77.
8. O. N. Hasanli, E. M. Najafov - “Analysis of the situation of logistics outsourcing services in the Republic of Azerbaijan”, NAA, “February readings – 2019”, Baku, 2019, p.131-133.